## Peer Review Sheet

Organization and Davalonment	/4	
Organization and Development		
Reviewer: Conventions Total		
		For each question, provide <i>specific examples</i> of how/where the paper meets or doesn't meet the criteria and/or improvements that can be made. Include page/paragraph numbers as appropriate
<ul><li>Quality of Ideas</li><li>1. Does the paper offer interesting, important, and fresh ideas on its topic?</li></ul>		
2. Does the paper reveal a writer who is curious and involved, a writer who questions investigates, and reflects?	,	
3. Does the paper acknowledge and investigate complexities, rather than oversimplify subject or glossing over difficulties?	ving the	
Organization and Development 4. What do you think the central claim (thesis statement) of the paper is?		
5. Does the paper maintain a consistent focus on this central claim?		

## Peer Review Sheet

Peer Review Sheet	
6.	Does the paper support the central claim with appropriate evidence?
7.	Does every part of the paper advance the central claim in a discernible and effective way? Do the sentences and paragraphs form an effective sequence, drawing the reader along through a logical and reasonable argument?
8.	Does the paper's conclusion leave the reader with a sense of why the central claim is important? Does it tie everything together?
	Does the paper conform to the conventions of Standard Written English in grammar, punctuation, spelling, and mechanics?
10.	Is it clear which ideas are the author's and which come from outside sources? Are outside sources adequately cited? Give examples.